



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Student Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name: *Pratyusha Paul*

Department: *CSE*

Semester: *24th*

Year: *2nd*

Please give a rating of your course on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Course 1	Course 2	Course 3	Course 4	Course 5	Course 6
1.	Appropriateness of the course sequences studied in consecutive semesters	5	5	5	5	5	
2.	Rate the syllabus of the course in terms of the competencies expected out	5	5	4	4	5	
3.	Rate the relevance of the modules specified in the course	4	5	5	5	5	
4.	Rate the module sequence defined in the course	4	4	4	5	5	
5.	Rate the allocation of the credits to the course	5	5	5	4	4	
6.	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)	5	5	5	5	5	
7.	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	5	4	5	5	5	
8.	Rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements	5	5	5	5	5	
9.	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Course	5	5	5	5	5	

Give your suggestion (if any)	
-------------------------------	--

Pratyusha Paul

Signature with date *9/2/18*



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Student Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name: Yogesh Kumar Sahu

Department: CSE

Semester: 4th

Year: 2nd

Please give a rating of your course on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Course 1	Course 2	Course 3	Course 4	Course 5	Course 6
1.	Appropriateness of the course sequences studied in consecutive semesters	5	5	5	5	5	
2.	Rate the syllabus of the course in terms of the competencies expected out	5	4	4	5	5	
3.	Rate the relevance of the modules specified in the course	5	5	5	5	4	
4.	Rate the module sequence defined in the course	5	5	5	4	5	
5.	Rate the allocation of the credits to the course	5	4	5	5	5	
6.	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)	5	5	5	5	5	
7.	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	5	4	5	5	5	
8.	Rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements	5	5	5	5	5	
9.	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Course	5	5	5	5	5	

Give your suggestion (if any)

Yogesh Sahu
9/02/18
Signature with date



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Student Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name: Sateb Sarkhel

Department: CSE

Semester: 4th

Year: 2nd

Please give a rating of your course on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Course 1	Course 2	Course 3	Course 4	Course 5	Course 6
1.	Appropriateness of the course sequences studied in consecutive semesters	5	5	5	5	5	
2.	Rate the syllabus of the course in terms of the competencies expected out	5	4	4	5	5	
3.	Rate the relevance of the modules specified in the course	5	5	5	5	5	
4.	Rate the module sequence defined in the course	5	4	5	5	5	
5.	Rate the allocation of the credits to the course	5	5	4	4	5	
6.	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)	5	5	5	5	5	
7.	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	5	5	5	4	5	
8.	Rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements	5	5	5	5	5	
9.	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Course	5	5	5	5	5	

Give your suggestion (if any)	
-------------------------------	--

Sateb Sarkhel

Signature with date 9/2/18



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Teacher Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name: DR. SABYASACHI BAGCHI

Department: BASIC ENGINEERING SCIENCES (PHYSICS)

Classes in which you are teaching: B.Tech 1st YEAR

1. (a) Stream: ECE (b) Semester: 2nd (c) Section: J, K (d) Theory/Lab ✓
 2. (a) Stream: EE (b) Semester: 2nd (c) Section: H, I (d) Theory/Lab ✓
 3. (a) Stream: AEIE (b) Semester: 2nd (c) Section: K, L (d) Theory/Lab ✓

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Adequacy of the syllabus to satisfy the optimum outcome based learning	4	
2	The extent of the syllabus to bridge the gap between industry standards / current global scenarios and academics	3	
3	Possibility for the timely coverage of syllabus within the stipulated hours	4	
4	Provision for sufficient reference material and books for the topics covered in the syllabus	5	
5	Efficiency of the existing guidelines for proper evaluation	3	
6	Appropriateness of the course sequences in the syllabus	4	
7	Scope of offering of the electives in terms of their relevance and specialization	1	
8	Scope for comprehensive learning in terms of technological advancements	3	

Suggestion (if any)	A few topics like Statistical Mechanics is really tough for beginners. Hence these kind of topics should be included in Physics 2 course, which has been abolished now.
---------------------	---

Signature with date: Sabyasachi Bagchi 08/02/19



Teacher Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name: AGIRA CHAUDHURI

Department: BES

Classes in which you are teaching:

1. (a)Stream: EE (b)Semester: 2nd (c)Section: H (d) Theory/Lab ☒
2. (a)Stream: ME (b)Semester: 2nd (c)Section: D, E (d) Theory/Lab ☒
3. (a)Stream: AE/E (b)Semester: 2nd (c)Section: L (d) Theory/Lab ☒

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Adequacy of the syllabus to satisfy the optimum outcome based learning	4	
2	The extent of the syllabus to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics	4	
3	Possibility for the timely coverage of syllabus within the stipulated hours	3	
4	Provision for sufficient reference material and books for the topics covered in the syllabus	4	
5	Efficiency of the existing guidelines for proper evaluation	2	No proper guidelines No workshop conducted
6	Appropriateness of the course sequences in the syllabus	4	
7	Scope of offering of the electives in terms of their relevance and specialization	3	
8	Scope for comprehensive learning in terms of technological advancements	3	

Suggestion (if any) Certain topics are abrupt and not mentioned properly. No workshop/seminar conducted before the implementation of the new syllabus. Workshop required at the earliest.

Agira Chaudhuri
Signature with date 8/2/19



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Teacher Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name: AMRITA DE

Department: BES

Classes in which you are teaching: B.TECT 1st YEAR

1. (a)Stream: EE (b)Semester: 2nd (c)Section: I, H (d) Theory/Lab

2. (a)Stream: ECE (b)Semester: 2nd (c)Section: J, K (d) Theory/Lab

3. (a)Stream: CSE (b)Semester: 2nd (c)Section: A, B (d) Theory/Lab

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Adequacy of the syllabus to satisfy the optimum outcome based learning	4	
2	The extent of the syllabus to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics	3	
3	Possibility for the timely coverage of syllabus within the stipulated hours	3	
4	Provision for sufficient reference material and books for the topics covered in the syllabus	4	
5	Efficiency of the existing guidelines for proper evaluation	5	
6	Appropriateness of the course sequences in the syllabus	4	
7	Scope of offering of the electives in terms of their relevance and specialization	4	
8	Scope for comprehensive learning in terms of technological advancements	3	

Suggestion (if any)	
---------------------	--

Amrita De . 8/2/19

Signature with date



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Employers Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of the Organization: *United Healthcare Products India*

Name of the Contact Person: *Gyan Bikash Dey*

Designation: *Proprietor*

Email ID:

Mobile: *9830053595*

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Competence of the engineering graduates from NSEC to apply engineering knowledge in their profession	<i>5</i>	
2	Leadership quality of the engineering graduates from NSEC to execute professional projects independently	<i>5</i>	
3	Quality of the engineering graduates from NSEC to work as a team member in projects	<i>4</i>	
4	Ethical & social responsibilities of the engineering graduate from NSEC	<i>5</i>	
5	Motivation of the graduates from NSEC to pursue higher education for professional development	<i>4</i>	
6	Ability of the engineering graduates from NSEC to identify & solve the problems in multidisciplinary fields	<i>4</i>	
7	Ability of the engineering graduates from NSEC to apply modern tools and to adopt cutting edge technologies	<i>5</i>	
8	Efficiency of the engineering graduates from NSEC in communication skills	<i>4</i>	

Suggestion (if any)

Signature

Gyan Bikash Dey



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Employers Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of the Organization: *Max Ventilator,*

Name of the Contact Person: *Rakesh Dey*

Designation: *Zonal Sales Manager.*

Email ID:

Mobile: *9007 82 4444*

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Competence of the engineering graduates from NSEC to apply engineering knowledge in their profession	5	
2	Leadership quality of the engineering graduates from NSEC to execute professional projects independently	5	
3	Quality of the engineering graduates from NSEC to work as a team member in projects	5	
4	Ethical & social responsibilities of the engineering graduate from NSEC	4	
5	Motivation of the graduates from NSEC to pursue higher education for professional development	5	
6	Ability of the engineering graduates from NSEC to identify & solve the problems in multidisciplinary fields	4	
7	Ability of the engineering graduates from NSEC to apply modern tools and to adopt cutting edge technologies	5	
8	Efficiency of the engineering graduates from NSEC in communication skills	5	

Suggestion (if any)	
---------------------	--

Rakesh Dey
Signature 09.02.2018



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Employers Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of the Organization: *Electrocare Services pvt. Ltd.*

Name of the Contact Person: *Indrajit Sarkar*

Designation: *Director*

Email ID: *isarkar1955@gmail.com*

Mobile: *9830076165*

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Competence of the engineering graduates from NSEC to apply engineering knowledge in their profession	5	Excellent
2	Leadership quality of the engineering graduates from NSEC to execute professional projects independently	4	Good
3	Quality of the engineering graduates from NSEC to work as a team member in projects	5	Excellent
4	Ethical & social responsibilities of the engineering graduate from NSEC	5	Excellent
5	Motivation of the graduates from NSEC to pursue higher education for professional development	5	Excellent
6	Ability of the engineering graduates from NSEC to identify & solve the problems in multidisciplinary fields	5	Excellent
7	Ability of the engineering graduates from NSEC to apply modern tools and to adopt cutting edge technologies	4	They should attend more workshops
8	Efficiency of the engineering graduates from NSEC in communication skills	5	Excellent

Suggestion (if any)	The Biomedical Engg. syllabus is very nicely designed but few other subjects like Biomedical software design methodology, hospital design tool like AutoCAD should be included.
---------------------	---

Signature

Indrajit Sarkar

02/02/2018



Alumni Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of alumni:

RAJANYA DEY

Affiliation:

CAPGEMINI

Designation:

Programme (UG/PG) / Department: [✓] Biomedical Engineering

Academic Session:

2012-2013

Year of Graduation/Post-graduation in NSEC: 2016

E-mail:

rajanya.dey@rediffmail.com

Phone/Mobile:

9836008292

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl.No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1.	Syllabus provides learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge analytical abilities or broadening perspectives) and professional competencies	5	
2.	Syllabus is matching on par with the industry requirement and instill self-employment	4	
3.	The syllabus is helpful in applying for practical applications / relevance to real life situations.	5	
4.	The syllabus is set in such a way to meet the specific needs of the society and promoting ethics and inspiring self-discipline.	5	
5.	The syllabus instills innovation, creativity and life skills.	4	
6.	The syllabus has the scope to build foundation of higher studies / research.	5	
7.	The Syllabus provides the basic concept through final year Project/Seminar/report writing.	4	
8.	The syllabus meets your expectations.	4	

Suggestion(if any)

Signature

Rajanya Dey

19/02/2018



Alumni Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of alumni: AMARESH DUTTA

Affiliation: TCS

Designation: Software Engineer

Programme (UG/PG) / Department: Biomedical Engineering

Academic Session: 2013-14

Year of Graduation/Post-graduation in NSEC: 2017

E-mail: amareshdutta03@gmail.com

Phone/Mobile: 8670342771

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl.No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1.	Syllabus provides learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge analytical abilities or broadening perspectives) and professional competencies.	5	
2.	Syllabus is matching on par with the industry requirement and instill self-employment	5	
3.	The syllabus is helpful in applying for practical applications / relevance to real life situations.	4	
4.	The syllabus is set in such a way to meet the specific needs of the society and promoting ethics and inspiring self-discipline.	5	
5.	The syllabus instills innovation, creativity and life skills.	4	
6.	The syllabus has the scope to build foundation of higher studies / research.	4	
7.	The Syllabus provides the basic concept through final year Project/Seminar/report writing.	5	
8.	The syllabus meets your expectations.	4	

Suggestion(if any)	
--------------------	--

Amarash Dutta
Signature 08/02/2018



Alumni Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of alumni: Shubham Roy Choudhury.

Affiliation: Think & Learn

Designation: Executive Office

Programme (UG/PG) / Department: UG

Academic Session: 2012-13

Year of Graduation/Post-graduation in NSEC: - 2016

E-mail: shubhamrc31@gmail.com

Phone/Mobile: 9038893977

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl.No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1.	Syllabus provides learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge analytical abilities or broadening perspectives) and professional competencies	5	
2.	Syllabus is matching on par with the industry requirement and instill self-employment	5	
3.	The syllabus is helpful in applying for practical applications / relevance to real life situations.	4	
4.	The syllabus is set in such a way to meet the specific needs of the society and promoting ethics and inspiring self-discipline.	5	
5.	The syllabus instills innovation, creativity and life skills.	4	
6.	The syllabus has the scope to build foundation of higher studies / research.	4	
7.	The Syllabus provides the basic concept through final year Project/Seminar/report writing.	5	
8.	The syllabus meets your expectations.	4	

Suggestion(if any)	
--------------------	--

Signature

Shubham Roy Choudhury.

08/02/18



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Parents Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of Parent: INDRA KAMAL PATHAK

Contact Number:

Email ID:

Occupation of Parent: CHARTERED ACCOUNTANT - NEPTUNE ORIENT LINE L

Students Name: AGNIV PATHAK

Stream: Biomedical Engineering

Year of Passing: 2018

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Rate your child's capability to grasp the knowledge given in the syllabus	5	
2	Rate your child's capability to use his/her engineering knowledge in his/her profession	5	
3	Rate the syllabus for attaining employability for your child	4	
5	Rate the adequacy of the syllabus for attaining higher studies	4	
6	Suitability of the syllabus equipping your child to face new problems and exposed to professional challenges	5	
7	Ability developed in your child to use modern engineering tools, and techniques	4	
8	Effectiveness of the syllabus to build character, values and ethics of your child	4	
9	Rate the syllabus to inculcate interest in your child to learn and explore new things	5	
10	Adequacy of the syllabus in enhancing the communication skill of your child	4	
11	Rate the syllabus for developing social responsibility in your child	5	

Suggestion (if any)	
---------------------	--

Signature


04.02.18



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Parents Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of Parent: ^{SURESH}
~~SURESH~~ SHARMA

Contact Number: 9476393377

Email ID:

Occupation of Parent: SERVICE

Students Name: SOURAV SHARMA

Stream: Biomedical Engineering Year of Passing: 2018


Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Rate your child's capability to grasp the knowledge given in the syllabus	5	
2	Rate your child's capability to use his/her engineering knowledge in his/her profession	5	
3	Rate the syllabus for attaining employability for your child	4	
5	Rate the adequacy of the syllabus for attaining higher studies	5	
6	Suitability of the syllabus equipping your child to face new problems and exposed to professional challenges	5	
7	Ability developed in your child to use modern engineering tools, and techniques	4	
8	Effectiveness of the syllabus to build character, values and ethics of your child	4	
9	Rate the syllabus to inculcate interest in your child to learn and explore new things	5	
10	Adequacy of the syllabus in enhancing the communication skill of your child	5	
11	Rate the syllabus for developing social responsibility in your child	5	

Suggestion
(if any)


Signature 07/02/18



NETAJI SUBHASH ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Parents Feedback Form on Design and Review of Syllabus

Name of Parent: Tania Dasgupta

Contact Number:

Email ID:

Occupation of Parent: Housewife

Students Name: Sneeshi Dasgupta

Stream: BME

Year of Passing: 2017

Please give your rating on the basis of following:

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please rate your course according to the above rating (If rating is poor then give your suggestion also)

Sl. No.	Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Rate your child's capability to grasp the knowledge given in the syllabus	5	
2	Rate your child's capability to use his/her engineering knowledge in his/her profession	4	
3	Rate the syllabus for attaining employability for your child	4	
5	Rate the adequacy of the syllabus for attaining higher studies	5	
6	Suitability of the syllabus equipping your child to face new problems and exposed to professional challenges	5	
7	Ability developed in your child to use modern engineering tools, and techniques	4	
8	Effectiveness of the syllabus to build character, values and ethics of your child	5	
9	Rate the syllabus to inculcate interest in your child to learn and explore new things	4	
10	Adequacy of the syllabus in enhancing the communication skill of your child	5	
11	Rate the syllabus for developing social responsibility in your child	4	


Suggestion (if any)	
---------------------	--

Tania Dasgupta.
Signature 11.02.2018


Feedback Report on Curriculum for the A.Y. 2017-2018

Feedback Type	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9
Alumni Feedback	4.192	4.024	4.468	3.920	4.500	4.064	3.952	4.496	
Employee Feedback	3.971	3.899	4.029	4.500	4.522	4.086	4.094	4.050	
Parent Feedback	4.522	4.492	4.090	3.977	4.518	3.990	3.977	3.990	4.090
Student Feedback	3.987	3.982	4.017	4.016	3.967	4.000			
Teacher Feedback	3.997	3.983	4.030	3.997	4.010	3.990	4.097	3.930	


Alumni Feedback



Employee Feedback




Parent Feedback





DIRECTOR
Netaji Subhash Engineering College
Techno City, Garia,
Kolkata-700 152
W.B., India


Student Feedback



Teacher Feedback



Overall Feedback




DIRECTOR
Netaji Subhash Engineering College
Techno City, Garla,
Kolkata-700 152
W.B., India